SWEETEN PROTECTION
Same Care
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) Image: COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI) Image: Complaint No:		
AIRS ID#: 0112532 DATE: 05/31/2008 ARRIVE: 11:00am DEPART: 12:00pm   FACILITY NAME: AFFORDABLE PAINT & BODY OF MARGATE		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1815 NORTH STATE ROAD 7 MARGATE 33063-5707 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RICHARD WILLIAMS PHONE: (954)978-1900		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:   ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/23/2006 / 2/23/2011 (effective date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)   IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE   SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.   (check ☑ appropriate box(es))   1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No   2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?		
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))   1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No   2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No		

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
	a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Yes	🛛 No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes [	] No

	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	
d)	) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No

d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----e) implementing ctices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by

mpi	ementing management practices to reduce voc emissions during cleanup by.		
1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning		
	cycles?	Yes [	] No
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes [	] No
3)	using water based cleaners?	Yes [	☐ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
	Yes	No
	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office? [	Yes	No

Elizabeth F.Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

05/31/2008

No ] No

Date of Inspection

05/31/2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 05/31/2008, AQD staff observed operations at Affordable Paint and Body Shop. The facility has one spray booth and filters are changed regularly. However, the motor recently burned out and the stack was removed and not replaced. AQD staff mentioned this to management and let them know that they would be receiving a Warning Notice for improper maintenance. They assured AQD staff that they would be replacing it as quickly as possible. VOC records were observed to be kept on-site (Final Logs were received on 6/11/08 and they are below the threshold).

Housekeeping was o.k.